Short communication: Effects of Bos taurus autosome 9-located quantitative trait loci haplotypes on enzymatic mastitis indicators of milk from dairy cows experimentally inoculated with Escherichia coli
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of a quantitative trait locus associated with mastitis caused by Escherichia coli, with one haplotype being more susceptible (HH) and another being more resistant (HL) to E. coli mastitis, on the activity of 4 inflammatory related milk enzymes. In particular, we investigated the suitability of β-glucuronidase (GLU) as an early indicator of E. coli mastitis. Besides GLU, the enzymes l-lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), N-acetyl-β-d-glucosaminidase (NAGase), and alkaline phosphatase were included. The study was conducted in an experimental setup with 31 Holstein cows divided into 4 groups representing repeated experiments and, within group, divided according to quantitative trait locus haplotype. All cows were inoculated with viable E. coli, and milk samples were collected 27 times from −6 to 396 h post-E. coli inoculation (PI). Activity of the 4 enzymes in milk, somatic cell count (SCC), daily milk yield, viable E. coli counts, and results of a semiquantitative polymerase chain reaction for pathogen detection, were all analyzed with a repeatability model. The response variables all expressed a strong reaction to the E. coli infection. Daily milk yield decreased significantly at 12 h PI and bacteria counts increased 100-fold and peaked at 18 h PI, which was validated by PCR. Also, SCC started to increase at 12 h PI and increased on average 70 times; however, no significant differences in SCC level were detected between HH and HL cows at any sampling point. The enzymes LDH, NAGase, and alkaline phosphatase showed similar responses, with a significantly increased activity and higher peak values for the HH than the HL cows. Significant differences between HH and HL cows were detected at different time points for these 3 enzymes, but not after adjusting P-values for multiple testing. A different pattern was also observed for GLU, where HL cows expressed the highest peak activity. Indication of differences in GLU activity between the 2 haplotype groups was only seen at 60 h PI. It was concluded that HL and HH cows expressed similar response patterns after E. coli infection but with differences in the size and profile of the activity of the 4 enzymes. The enzyme GLU was an equally good indicator of E. coli mastitis compared with the other studied enzymes, although it showed a slower response compared with LDH and NAGase. Key words: dairy cattle, mastitis indicator, milk enzyme, quantitative trait locus

Short Communication

Mastitis in dairy cattle has a serious economic effect due to production loss, treatment costs, increased labor, increased culling rate, and reduced milk quality (Halasa et al., 2007). Furthermore, mastitis reduces animal welfare and the use of antibiotics for therapy should be limited in light of increasing problems with microbial resistance against antibiotics. Management strategies seem to be the most effective means for controlling mastitis (Seegers et al., 2003). However, to improve genetic selection for mastitis resistance, considerable efforts have been put into the identification of QTL associated with mastitis, especially in the Nordic countries where phenotypic data on mastitis treatments is available (Klungland et al., 2001; Holmberg and Andersson-Eklund, 2004; Schulman et al., 2004; Lund et al., 2008). A QTL on bovine autosome 9 has been found to be associated with mastitis caused by Escherichia coli, with one haplotype being more susceptible and another being more resistant (Sørensen et al., 2008). Khatun et al. (2013) investigated the effect of this QTL on clinical and paraclinical disease phenotypes in an
experimental setup where Holstein cows bearing haplotypes with either low (HL) or high (HH) susceptibility to E. coli mastitis were inoculated with viable E. coli. The effect of this QTL was further investigated in the present study.

The invasion of pathogens into the bovine udder triggers an intramammary inflammatory response which causes a change in milk composition (Kitchen, 1981). Several milk components may be used as indicators for mastitis, SCC being the most common (Pyörälä, 2003); other indicators include inflammatory-related enzymes (Kitchen, 1981). The immunological contribution of these enzymes is not known (Hurley et al., 1987), but several studies have shown that milk enzymes can be useful as mastitis indicators. L-Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH; EC 1.1.1.27) is a nonlysosomal enzyme found in all cells and tissues in the body (Dixon and Webb, 1958). Lehmann et al. (2013) suggested that increased LDH activity in milk during an udder infection is caused by release from disrupted leukocytes and damaged epithelial cells but also from soluble LDH from blood because of alterations in the blood-milk barrier. Automatic inline measurement of LDH has successfully been used for mastitis detection in automated milking systems (Chagunda et al., 2006a). N-Acetyl-β-d-glucosaminidase (NAGase; EC 3.2.1.52) also has potential as indicator of mastitis (Chagunda et al., 2006b). This enzyme is of lysosomal origin and released from neutrophils during phagocytosis and cell lysis, but especially from damaged epithelial cells during mastitis (Kitchen et al., 1984). Basic activity of alkaline phosphatase (AP; EC 3.1.3.1) in the mammary gland is associated with microsomal particles (Andrews, 1992). Heyneman and Burvenich (1992) suggested that increased AP activity during mastitis is related to enhanced expression of AP originating from leukocytes under direct or indirect influence of inflammatory mediators. Larsen et al. (2010) investigated the effect of AP as early indicator of E. coli LPS-induced mastitis. Distinction between LPS- and placebo (NaCl)-infused quarters was only possible for a limited time frame for AP, which suggests limited use for AP as indicator of mastitis. The lysosomal enzyme β-glucuronidase (GLU; EC 3.2.1.31) is released from cells participating in inflammatory response when they are stimulated. This enzyme may be an important indicator of mastitis because it is, in contrast to LDH, NAGase, and AP, not released from epithelial cells (Perdigon et al., 1986). Fang et al. (1995) showed that GLU is also released from common mastitis pathogens, such as E. coli, Streptococcus uberis, and Streptococcus agalactiae, but not Staphylococcus aureus. Larsen and Aulrich (2012) suggested that GLU may not be a reliable mastitis indicator on its own because of a weaker correlation between GLU activity and SCC compared with the association between SCC and activity of LDH and NAGase. However, the combination with either LDH or NAGase proved inferior to the use of either LDH or NAGase alone.

The objectives of our study were to (1) investigate the suitability of GLU as early indicator of E. coli mastitis and (2) investigate whether Holstein cows bearing either HL or HH haplotypes for susceptibility to E. coli mastitis express differences in activity of GLU, LDH, NAGase, and AP. We expected that both HL and HH cows developed mastitis indicated by increasing bacterial count, SCC, and enzyme activity shortly after inoculation. We also expected that HL cows were better at suppressing the infection indicated by shorter time for the infection indicators to return to preinoculation level.

Data for our study was acquired from new analyses of milk samples collected in the experiment described in details by Khatun et al. (2013). Briefly, 31 first-parity Holstein cows were divided into 4 groups according to expected calving date: 2 groups in winter and 2 groups in summer. Within each group, cows were divided according to QTL haplotype. Groups 1, 3, and 4 consisted of 4 HH and 4 HL cows and group 2 consisted of 2 HH and 5 HL cows. All cows were inoculated with a small dose of viable E. coli (20–40 cfu) in the front quarter with the lowest SCC (<100,000 cells/mL) at 0 h, 4 to 5 wk after calving. Milk samples were collected as foremilk samples before milking at −6, 0, 3, 6, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96, 108, 120, 132, 144, 156, 168, 180, 192, 204, 216, 228, 240, 300, and 396 h post-E. coli inoculation (PI). The cows were milked twice a day, and daily milk yield (kg) was recorded and milk samples were collected for SCC. Daily milk yield and SCC were available from −60 to 228 h PI at 24-h intervals.

Presence of E. coli cells were quantified using 2 different methods: (1) by bacteriological culturing as described by Buitenhuis et al. (2011) and subsequent quantification of viable counts (cfu) and (2) by a semi-quantitative real-time PCR assay (PathoProof Mastitis PCR assay, Finzymes Oy, Espoo, Finland). The PCR analyses were done at a central laboratory (Eurofins, Holstebro, Denmark) and results were provided as the number of PCR cycles (cycle threshold, Ct value) to reach a fixed fluorescence threshold as described by Koskinen et al. (2009). Thus, a lower Ct value indicates the presence of more bacteria. A detection threshold of Ct value = 37 was used (Koskinen et al., 2009). The SCC analysis (cells/mL) was also done at a central laboratory (Eurofins) using flow cytometry (CombiFoss, Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark). Activities of the 4 milk enzymes were determined by kinetic, fluorometric methods. The activity of LDH (μmol/min per liter),
was analyzed as described by Larsen (2005), the activity of NAGase (μmol/min per liter) and the activity of AP (μmol/min per liter) were analyzed according to Larsen et al. (2010). The method for analyzing GLU (nmol/min per liter) was described by Larsen and Aulrich (2012).

All phenotypes were analyzed using the following repeatability model:

\[
y = Xb + Z_1a + Z_2m + e,
\]

where \( y \) is a vector of phenotypes (activity of LDH, NAGase, AP, and GLU, ln-transformed Ct values and SCC, log-transformed viable \( E. coli \) counts, and daily milk yield), \( b \) is a vector of fixed effects (group and haplotype), \( a \) is vector of random cow effects, \( m \) is a vector of random measurement effects, \( e \) is a vector of residual effects, and \( X, Z_1, \) and \( Z_2 \) are corresponding incidence matrices. Because of the nonequidistant sampling times, the correlation within cow was modeled using a Gaussian spatial covariance structure. Data were analyzed using the MIXED procedure in SAS (version 9.3, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). No changes in the levels of the response variables were observed after 192 h PI; thus, only phenotypes from 0 to 192 h PI were included in the analyses to avoid noise contribution from phenotypes recorded after 192 h PI. This resulted in 19 pairwise comparisons between HL and HH cows. The significance threshold was adjusted accordingly using a Bonferroni correction, \( p^* = \frac{\alpha}{n} \), so that the adjusted probability threshold becomes \( p^* \leq 0.0026 \) at a given \( \alpha = 0.05 \) and \( n = 19 \). Mean time profiles of the indicator variables were created using data from all cows without considering haplotype. Also, Pearson correlation coefficients among the response variables were estimated across all cows and using the entire experimental period.

Khatun et al. (2013) indicated that a haplotype effect was seen in SCC restitution. However, this was not tested statistically. We tested this by adding time as a covariate in the previous model and tested whether the slope of the response variables on the time trajectory were statically different between HL and HH cows. The slopes were established between time of peak value and time, where response variables were assumed to have reached a stable level similar to PI level. Stable levels were determined from the time profiles and assumed to be 132 h PI for LDH, AP, and viable counts of \( E. coli \); 156 h PI for NAGase and daily milk yield; 204 h PI for GLU; 192 h PI for SCC; and 144 h PI for the Ct values.

All cows inoculated with viable \( E. coli \) developed acute mastitis. The infection also caused an increase in body temperature and heart rate and decreased feed intake and daily milk yield (Figure 1). Using −12 h PI as control, a significant \( (P < 0.0001) \) decrease in daily milk yield of 5.8 kg was observed at 12 h PI. The largest decrease of 9.3 kg was observed at 36 h PI, and at 84 h PI the milk yield was back to the control level (0 h). No significant \( (P > 0.05) \) differences in daily milk yield between HL and HH cows were observed during the trial period.

A large increase was observed for all mastitis indicator variables (decrease for Ct values) following inoculation of \( E. coli \) (Figures 2 to 7). The bacteria started to multiply shortly after inoculation and peaked at 18 h PI, with approximately a 100-fold increase relative to inoculation level at 0 h (Figure 2). After this time point, viable \( E. coli \) counts decreased fast and were close to zero after 132 h PI. The HL cows peaked at a slightly higher level, but no significant \( (P > 0.05) \) differences were observed at any time point during the trial period between the 2 haplotypes. The Ct values from the PCR analyses resembled bacterial counts closely and were lowest at 12 h PI (Figure 2). No bacteria were detected after 132 h PI given the Ct threshold of 37. Again, HL cows had a slightly lower minimum value but were not significantly different from the HH cows \( (P > 0.05) \). The \( E. coli \) infection resulted in a drastic increase in SCC level for cows bearing either haplotype (Figure 3), and large variation among the cows was seen during the infection. Somatic cell count level started to increase around 12 h PI and peaked at 48 h PI with a 70-fold average increase compared with −12 h PI level. Differences in SCC level between the 2 haplotype groups were not significant \( (P > 0.05) \) at any time point.
Common for all 4 enzymes after *E. coli* infection was a large increase in activity a few hours PI and large variation among the cows during the infection period. The activity of LDH (Figure 4) was significantly different from the 0-h level at 18 to 72 h and 18 to 108 h PI for HH and HL cows, respectively (Table 1). Activity for the HH cows peaked at 18 h PI, with a response of 68.5 μmol/min per liter (*P* < 0.0001) compared with the 0-h level. The HL cows peaked later at 36 h with a slightly lower response of 59.0 μmol/min per liter (*P* < 0.0001) compared with the 0-h level. A significant difference between the 2 haplotype groups was observed 18 h PI only, with a higher activity of 21.4 μmol/min per liter (*P* = 0.042) for the HH cows. However, when considering the significance threshold for multiple testing, this difference was deemed nonsignificant. The activity of NAGase (Figure 5) peaked at 24 h PI, with a higher activity of 33.6 and 49.2 μmol/min per liter (*P* < 0.0001) compared with the 0-h level. Significant differences from the 0-h level were seen from 18 to 96 h and 18 to 108 h PI for HH and HL cows, respectively. A significant difference (*P* = 0.022) between HL and HH cows was seen only at 24 h PI, with a higher activity of 15.0 μmol/min per liter for the HH cows. Again, considering the significance threshold, this difference was nonsignificant. Regarding AP (Figure 6), a significantly increased activity was observed from 18 to 72 h PI for HL cows, but only from 18 to 48 h PI for the HH cows compared with the 0-h level (Table 1). Both haplotype groups peaked later at 48 h PI compared with LDH and NAGase, and resulted in an increase in activity of AP of 772.8 and 482.0 μmol/min per liter (*P* < 0.0001) for HH and HL cows, respectively, compared with the 0-h level. A significant difference between HH and HL cows was observed at 48 h PI (*P* < 0.019), although not significant when considering multiple testing. The activity of GLU (Figure 7) responded differently compared with the other enzymes. Peak activity was observed at 36 h PI for both haplotypes; in contrast to LDH, NAGase, and AP, for GLU an increase of 117.8 nmol/min per liter compared with the 0-h level was seen for the HL cows compared with 97.2 nmol/min per liter for the HH cows. Significant differences from the 0-h level were seen from 18 to 84 h and 18 to 96 h PI for HL and HH cows, respectively. Differences in GLU activity between the 2 haplotypes were only significant (*P* < 0.0066) at 60 h PI, but were nonsignificant when accounting for multiple testing.

Associations between the analyzed milk enzymes, other mastitis indicators, and milk yield are presented in Table 2 and were all significantly different from zero (*P* < 0.0001). The correlations between the 4 enzymes were overall strong and positive (r = 0.65 to 0.85), and AP generally had the lowest correlation with the remaining 3 enzymes. The correlations between viable counts of *E. coli* and enzyme activities were moderately positive (r = 0.55 to 0.65), with the strongest correlation to LDH and the weakest to GLU. The correlations between Ct values and enzymes activities were of similar magnitude (r = −0.61 to −0.53) but negative, as expected, for the Ct scale. The correlation between viable counts of *E. coli* and Ct values was strongly negative (r = −0.80) indicating good agreement between the 2 methods. The association between SCC...
and enzyme activity was similar for the 4 enzymes (r = 0.42 to 0.50), with the strongest correlation to NAGase activity. Overall, the correlations between daily milk yield and the remaining response variables were low and negative (positive for Ct values).

Regarding the effect of haplotype on the recovery of the indicator variables, we were not able to detect any significant differences in recovery slopes from peak level to PI level time between the 2 haplotype groups.

The milk enzymes, including GLU, analyzed in our study were equally suitable as early warning indicators for *E. coli* mastitis. They all showed strong and rapid responses to the presence of bacteria from 18 h PI onwards, and they all showed differences between QTL haplotypes, although the latter effect could not be established when adjusting for multiple testing. Also, it should be noted that peak levels of the enzymes were reached at slightly different time points, which

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>LDH</th>
<th>NAGase</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>GLU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>HL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>68.5* (0.0001)</td>
<td>48.0* (0.0001)</td>
<td>33.0* (0.0001)</td>
<td>31.5* (0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>65.3* (0.0001)</td>
<td>48.4* (0.0001)</td>
<td>49.2* (0.0001)</td>
<td>33.6* (0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>60.5* (0.0001)</td>
<td>59.0* (0.0001)</td>
<td>33.8* (0.0001)</td>
<td>28.2* (0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>56.0* (0.0001)</td>
<td>39.9* (0.0001)</td>
<td>36.1* (0.0001)</td>
<td>25.8* (0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>49.4* (0.0001)</td>
<td>39.2* (0.0001)</td>
<td>24.8* (0.0001)</td>
<td>29.7* (0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>41.2* (0.0001)</td>
<td>31.2* (0.0001)</td>
<td>22.4* (0.0003)</td>
<td>19.7* (0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>20.1* (0.0016)</td>
<td>15.9 (0.0095)</td>
<td>15.9 (0.0095)</td>
<td>14.4* (0.0017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>17.8 (0.0045)</td>
<td>18.2 (0.0031)</td>
<td>18.2 (0.0031)</td>
<td>12.2 (0.0067)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>16.1 (0.0103)</td>
<td>10.7 (0.0174)</td>
<td>10.7 (0.0174)</td>
<td>10.7 (0.0174)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only significant results are shown.
*Post-*E. coli* inoculation (in hours).
*Significant after adjustment for multiple testing.
may have an effect of the usefulness of the enzymes as early warning indicators for *E. coli* mastitis if used in automated milking systems (timely alert). No effects of QTL haplotype on viable *E. coli* counts, Ct-values, or SCC level were observed. Based on this, we speculated that QTL mechanisms that do not affect SCC level, but rather SCC function or ability of mammary epithelial cells to resist damage, are responsible for the observed activity patterns of the investigated enzymes.

For LDH, NAGase, and AP, the HL haplotype showed a weaker response to *E. coli* infection compared with the HH haplotype. In contrast, the activity of GLU was highest in the HL cows. This may indicate that the source of enzyme activity is different for GLU compared with the remaining enzymes. Some *E. coli* strains related to mastitis in dairy cows have been shown to release great amounts of GLU (Fang et al., 1995), which is important for the ability of the bacteria to cleave lactose into glucose and galactose as an energy source. Macrophages and polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMN) release all 4 enzymes (e.g., Schorlemmer et al., 1977), whereas mammary epithelial cells are not described to be a source of GLU release (Hurley et al., 1987). In contrast, mammary epithelial cells seem to be a major source for the release of LDH, NAGase, and AP, indicating mammary epithelium damage (Kitchen

### Table 2. Pearson correlations between milk enzyme activity of l-lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), *N*-acetyl-β-d-glucosaminidase (NAGase), alkaline phosphatase (AP), β-glucuronidase (GLU), other mastitis indicators such as viable *Escherichia coli* counts (cfu), cycle threshold (Ct) values, SCC, and milk yield1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>NAGase</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>GLU</th>
<th>cfu</th>
<th>Ct-value</th>
<th>SCC</th>
<th>Milk yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>0.85 (782)</td>
<td>0.65 (782)</td>
<td>0.73 (782)</td>
<td>0.65 (779)</td>
<td>−0.61 (766)</td>
<td>0.48 (333)</td>
<td>−0.29 (360)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.83; 0.87]</td>
<td>[0.61; 0.69]</td>
<td>[0.69; 0.76]</td>
<td>[0.60; 0.69]</td>
<td>[−0.65; −0.57]</td>
<td>[0.39; 0.57]</td>
<td>[−0.38; −0.19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAGase</td>
<td>0.71 (782)</td>
<td>0.79 (782)</td>
<td>0.64 (779)</td>
<td>−0.61 (766)</td>
<td>0.50 (333)</td>
<td>−0.25 (360)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.67; 0.74]</td>
<td>[0.76; 0.81]</td>
<td>[0.59; 0.68]</td>
<td>[−0.65; −0.56]</td>
<td>[0.41; 0.57]</td>
<td>[−0.34; −0.15]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>0.70 (782)</td>
<td>0.57 (779)</td>
<td>−0.52 (766)</td>
<td>0.38 (333)</td>
<td>−0.25 (360)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.66; 0.73]</td>
<td>[0.53; 0.62]</td>
<td>[−0.57; −0.47]</td>
<td>[0.28; 0.46]</td>
<td>[−0.34; −0.15]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLU</td>
<td>0.55 (779)</td>
<td>−0.53 (766)</td>
<td>0.42 (333)</td>
<td>−0.22 (360)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0.50; 0.59]</td>
<td>[−0.58; −0.47]</td>
<td>[0.33; 0.50]</td>
<td>[−0.32; −0.12]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cfu</td>
<td>−0.80 (764)</td>
<td>0.43 (334)</td>
<td>−0.32 (360)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[−0.83; −0.78]</td>
<td>[0.34; 0.51]</td>
<td>[−0.41; −0.23]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ct value</td>
<td>−0.64 (331)</td>
<td>0.20 (355)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[−0.70; −0.57]</td>
<td>[0.10; 0.30]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>−0.44 (276)</td>
<td>−0.44 (276)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[−0.53; −0.34]</td>
<td>[−0.53; −0.34]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Numbers represent Pearson correlation coefficients among the analyzed variables across all cows and experimental period; figures in parentheses are numbers of observations. Values in brackets show 95% CI for the correlation estimates.

---

**Figure 6.** Overall average activity of alkaline phosphatase (AP) and SD from *Escherichia coli*-inoculated cows from −6 to 396 h after inoculation. PI = postinoculation.

**Figure 7.** Overall average activity of β-glucuronidase (GLU) and SD from *Escherichia coli*-inoculated cows from −6 to 396 h after inoculation. PI = postinoculation.
et al., 1978; Kitchen, 1981). Additionally, blood-derived LDH is an important contributor to LDH activity in the mammary gland during mastitis (Lehmann et al., 2013). Khatun et al. (2013) found that the QTL haplotypes were associated with a difference in leucocyte kinetics, with HL cows having faster blood PMN recruitment and a tendency for faster SCC recovery and a milder clinical response (lower heart rate and body temperature) than the HH cows. Hence, the combined results from Khatun et al. (2013) and the present study indicate that the higher activity of LDH, NAGase, and AP for the HH cows can mainly be explained by increased damage to the mammary epithelial cells. Because no differences in viable E. coli counts were found between the 2 haplotypes, the release of GLU from E. coli cells is expected to be similar for the 2 haplotype groups. Thus, the higher GLU activity for the HL cows can be explained by increased release of GLU from macrophages and PMN compared with the HH cows. Alternatively, one might speculate that the HH haplotype (cow) produces (more) inhibitory substances against bacterial GLU activity; however, we have no information that can confirm such speculations. The composition of SCC may also be different in the HL cows, resulting in a better capability to deal with an E. coli infection. However, in the present study, it could not be confirmed that the increased release was due to the presence of more PMN in the udder or increased release from individual macrophages and PMN. Based on the results from the present study, it is not clear whether GLU may be used for identification of specific pathogens related to mastitis, or vice versa, be used to exclude strains of bacteria as in the case of Staph. aureus. The GLU activity of E. coli proved to be considerable, and the exact origin of the GLU activity will require more detailed studies.

The associations between the 4 milk enzymes, in general, were stronger than those found by Larsen and Aulrich (2012), who collected milk samples from randomly selected cows. In the present study, all cows had E. coli mastitis, were of the same breed and parity, and at similar stages of lactation. This created a more homogenous group of cows, which may explain the higher correlations between the enzyme activities. Also, the correlations between enzyme activity and SCC were stronger in the present study. Viable E. coli counts and the PCR method for determining the presence of bacteria were strongly correlated. The PCR method responded earlier than the culturing method and detected the presence of bacteria for a longer time compared with the culturing method. This is because bacteria already killed by the mammary immune response are detected by the PCR method and not by the culturing method. In the present study, in contrast to suggestions from Khatun et al. (2013), any differences between haplotypes in the ability to recover from the E. coli infection could not be detected based on the used mastitis indicators. The differences were numerically small and would require a much larger sample size to become detectable.

In conclusion, our study shows that, in general, cows bearing HH or HL haplotypes follow a similar response pattern when inoculated with viable E. coli, but differences in response time and the size of the response exist for enzyme-based mastitis indicators such as LDH, NAGase, AP, and GLU. The milk enzyme GLU was an equally good indicator, with a response profile very similar to that of LDH, NAGase, and AP. However, LDH and NAGase responded faster compared with GLU and AP, which made them superior as mastitis indicators in an automated mastitis-detection system. Further examinations are necessary to finally show whether a genetic association of GLU activities with different haplotypes of the bovine autosomal 9 QTL exists or not.
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